

Extended University Six Year Plan - Spring 2019

Professor Andrea Grove

2019-02-20T23:13:28Z

I support an increased focus on internationalization. Since the proposal mentions faculty-led programs and other kinds of activities we do to some extent already, I would just note we should encourage collaboration with the Center for International Studies, Global Studies program, and other non-EU international/global work.

Julia Baln, PhD

2019-03-16T20:00:04Z

The narrative for this proposed budget is quite general and, as Andrea notes, does not take into consideration work already being done stateside on campus. Yes, we should definitely increase opportunities for internationalization, but this needs to be done in consultation with faculty, especially those who have already been doing this work on campus for many years. Also, while the proposal touches generally on all of the work the Mission Centers do, it does so with no recognition of that work. It's important to take into account the whole university landscape and history when considering such changes.

Geoffrey Buhl

2019-03-13T16:27:06Z

The narrative is not clear how common it is in the CSU for the International Program to be run through EU or State-side. As EU looks to gain solvency, it makes sense to move this expensive program off their books. However, half a million dollars is a fair amount of money to add to the state side budget. The University needs to critically examine what size of an international program is appropriate base on how much CI is willing to spend on one. Perhaps and smaller international program with state side and EU sharing the cost (not 100% for either side) is appropriate.