

UNIV &: Undergraduate Studies Six Year Plan - Spring 2019

Tim Allison

2019-03-13T22:34:42Z

I strongly support efforts to fund an Undergraduate Studies Dean, GE Director, plus help and support for UNIV (analyst and peer mentors as a budget line item). At present, these programs are essential to our mission goals and graduate initiatives. However, they cut across departmental lines and therefore don't fit nicely on a flowchart. As a consequence, they float. Having these positions ensure accountability, success and give them an institutional advocate.

Professor Andrea Grove

2019-02-20T23:20:18Z

In reference to the proposal that there be a new dean that is in part in charge of the Centers is something I hope can involve future discussions about what this looks like and what it would mean.

Monica Pereira

2019-03-15T23:02:12Z

Over the course of its existence, Undergraduate Studies/UNIV has proven itself to be a strong partner in student success. In addition to identifying and exercising a wide range of high impact practices, UNIV has contributed to student equity through the use of peer mentors. Peer mentors have created successful mechanisms for peer interaction, provided feedback to faculty from the student perspective, and matured as students as a consequence. Undergraduate Studies/UNIV needs to be institutionalized, and General Education needs a full time director.

Luis Sanchez

2019-03-09T03:39:56Z

The funding for the Spiral and UNIV courses are integral to our university's mission and commitment to integrative approaches and high-impact teaching practices.

Kassidee Sattler

2019-03-07T23:34:37Z

After serving on the General Education Committee, it has become clear to me that a General Education Director would absolutely be in the best interest of CSUCI. This position could implement GE Assessment, produce GE guidelines in order to facilitate GE development, act as liaison between serving GE committee members and faculty, and work proactively towards an aligned, succinct GE program.

Julia Baln, PhD

2019-03-16T19:41:22Z

I concur across the board with the arguments stated for having an Undergraduate Dean. As for the placing the Mission Centers under this unit, it seems to me that they belong more in relationship to faculty development, though Mission Center connections with UNIV efforts is definitely important. As Andrea notes, this calls for a more in-depth conversation.

Geoffrey Buhl

2019-02-25T22:24:42Z

Identifying permanent funding for the highly impactful peer mentoring (PEEPs) program is critical to achieve our GI 2025 goals.

Funding for a faculty director of GE would help tremendously in the oversight and assessment of CI's GE program.

There needs to be a Dean of Undergraduate Studies.

Ekin 2019-03-01T17:49:32Z

Having a GE program with a director could have a significant impact on student retention in the earlier years of study by streamlining the GE path. This streamlining and organization might also benefit transfer students with partial LD GE certification.

For these reasons among many others, I think it is definitely important for our campus to address administrative issues (e.g. policy compliance) and duties (e.g. GE outcomes assessment and alignment) regarding the significant GE units students are required to take. A Dean of UG studies and/or a GE Director would be a step towards a student-centered experience on campus.

Janet Rizzoli 2019-03-09T04:44:34Z

Being on the GE committee for many years, there's not a lot of time to do much more than process course proposals/modifications that come through the committee. With a GE program director, more time could be devoted to enhancing the GE program rather than just dealing with the present. Faculty chair(s) have limited time to develop new strategies with their already busy schedules.

Eileen Ryding

2019-03-06T17:04:58Z

As a current member of the GE Committee, I see the need for a General Education Director. While the committee currently does a great job coming together and analyzing individual GEs that come through Curriculog, it would be even more effective if there were an overall director that could provide a more comprehensive and continued review of changes and updates. In addition, it would help to have an individual in a more leadership role that had the authority to enforce changes and assessments as needed (and more streamline the GE assessment processes for curriculum and WASC accreditation).

I additionally agree with Ekins point on how having a GE director will help ensure students complete their GE classes here, or when they transfer them in, in an efficient manner (thus improving the timeliness to degree for all students).

Blake Buller

2019-03-08T19:32:38Z

As a former (and potentially returning) member of the GE Committee, I too agree with the need and support the request for a GE Director. The faculty and staff on the committee have worked extremely hard in past years to accomplish as much work as possible, especially to complete CIs GE pattern realignment that resulted from Executive Order 1100-Revised in AY 2017-18, but having a GE Director would give the committee someone who could devote

even more time and energy to helping lead the GE course review process and assessment of the GE program at CI. This should only serve to enhance its efficiency, effectiveness, and benefit to students. Thus, this request has my full support.

Having a Dean of Mission and Undergraduate Education would allow there to be someone who could take a comprehensive view of undergraduate education here at CI, and help coordinate efforts between different areas and programs to ensure a cohesive undergraduate experience for our students. Thus, I agree with and support this request.