



## Committee Decision Framework

Use this annually or on a cycle (e.g., every three years) to evaluate whether an existing committee should be continued, revised, or retired. This framework integrates structural, cultural, and operational insights identified in the [Presidential Operational Effectiveness Committee \(POEC\)](#) Productivity Evaluation.

### Step 1: Mandate and Purpose

Is the committee mandated by policy, compliance requirement, or executive directive?

- ☐ Yes → Continue to Step 2
- ☐ No → Reassess purpose and explore alternative structures (e.g., working group, etc.)

### Step 2: Strategic Relevance

Does the committee's charge align with CSUCI's current strategic goals?

- ☐ Yes → Step 3
- ☐ No → Recommend restructuring, repurposing, or sunseting

### Step 3: Defined Scope and SMART Goals

Are the committee's responsibilities and outcomes clearly defined with SMART goals? Are they specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound?

- ☐ Yes → Step 4
- ☐ No → Clarify charge and establish measurable objectives

### Step 4: Functionality & Deliverables

Has the committee produced tangible deliverables or decisions within the past year?

- ☐ Yes → Step 5
- ☐ No → Evaluate for redundancy or dissolve

### Step 5: Membership & Representation

Do members bring the right mix of expertise, and is membership equitably distributed?

- ☐ Yes → Step 6
- ☐ No → Revisit committee composition and expectations

### Step 6: Operational Health

Are meetings purposeful, facilitated effectively, and supported with training or tools?

- ☐ Yes → Step 7
- ☐ No → Provide facilitation training or reestablish meeting norms

### Step 7: Review Mechanism

Does the committee have a clear review cycle or sunset clause?

- ☐ Yes → Maintain and monitor
- ☐ No → Establish review dates or end-of-purpose criteria