

# *California State University Channel Islands*

President's Council Meeting Notes: Monday, February 27, 2006

The President's Council met on Monday, February 27, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. The meeting was held in University Hall, California State University Channel Islands, One University Drive, Camarillo.

In attendance: Herb Aquino, Terry Ballman, Raudel Banuelos, Gary Berg, Lisa Breckenridge, Meghan Ciuffetelli, Joanne Coville, Maria de la Luz Flores, Marti de los Cobos, Cindy Eaves, Therese Eyeremann, Traci Franks, Nancy Hill, Peggy Hinz, Nian Huang, Bill Kupfer, Steve Lefevre, Ted Lucas, Maureen McQuestion, Michelle Moon, George Morten, Ray Porras, Martha Reyes, Lisette Rodriguez, Melissa Remotti, Richard Rush, Greg Sawyer, Mitchel Sloan, Cathy Strauch, Ronnie Sullivan, Jane Sweetland.

President Rush welcomed all members.

## **Ben Franklin Tercentenary Celebration**

Professor Huang outlined the schedule of speakers for the events. He reported that the first group of speakers was well received and that the speakers were impressed with CSUCI's programs and vision. Professor Huang stressed that these types of programs bring prestige and enrichment to the community. He noted the articles that were published in the STAR and the presentation that were made to various community groups. And, quite notably, he reported that CSUCI is listed as a friend on the National Ben Franklin Celebration website and will continue to be published there for a two year period, during which the celebration will be enjoyed internationally.

## **WASC Report**

WASC will be here on Monday, March 6<sup>th</sup>; their campus schedule should be out today. President Rush strongly encouraged everyone to read the Capacity & Preparatory Report prior to their arrival on campus. Next year at this time will be their final visit looking at Educational Effectiveness. President Rush and Ted Lucas made comments that we have made tremendous growth since their last visit, and that we should not apologize for being a start-up institution. President Rush advised all employees to be on campus throughout their visit.

## **Intellectual Property**

This is a policy to define "Who Own's It". The policy outlines the conditions under which ownership for work produced by faculty would reside with the creator, with the university, or another arrangement. Because this is such an important policy that needs to be developed, an interim policy will be set into place for now; it is on the President's desk for signature this week. This will hold until there is time to get organized with the actual policy. The interim policy has been run by all the unions and the Senate and all agreed to the interim policy.

## **Discussion-**

### **a. Policy on Alcohol-(Dr. Morten)**

This was the first reading of this policy which was revised from 6/17/04. The new additions reflect 3 new changes in regards to a) Title V = Public Intoxication b) Executive Order 966 =

Change to the sales and marketing of alcohol and c) Executive Order 969 = Student Activities. These changes are in response to the Chancellor's Office desire to add a level of responsibility to school clubs and organizations, specifically in relation to on and off campus sponsored activities. The old policy dealt with only on campus activities, this revised policy now covers off campus activities as well.

President Rush emphasized that this is a policy that needs input from the whole campus including Public Safety, Counselors, Risk Management, Grounds, etc, across the entire campus community. There needs to be a positive, educational look into this entire policy. It should help to deal with responsibility and it is important to the health of our community. The previous policy listed social norms approach to drinking; the same language was not used in this revised policy, it was mentioned to make it more succinct with one another. Peggy mentioned that she and other CSU campuses have met with their lawyers regarding the change specifically to the sales and marketing of alcohol and she feels there may be some future revisions to this that may come about prior to a second reading of this policy. Discussion also included the language between the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> policy is different and should mirror each other. It needs to cover everyone that uses our entire campus, including outside groups that use our campus for events.

**b. Policy on Instructionally Related Activities – (Cindy Eaves)**

The purpose of this policy is in relation to the fund based on the \$50 student fee per semester. This policy needs to look at who can use it and what is it used for. Ideas were suggested that there needs to be a check-off list as well as have a faculty advisor linked to the approval process. Ted Lucas gave an example of a recent situation in which students were given an amount of money but now can't use it because of physical plant implications. This brought up discussion on the facilities use agreement, it needs to be considered first to make sure it is something that can be done. A suggestion was made to have an OPC representative on the IRA committee. It was noted that this is a very important committee because of the size of the fund, so it is important to get this policy passed. Suggestions were made that the language of the policy needed some work; i.e. what is the exact title of the fee. Items #3 and #4 the language needs to be more understandable. For items #5 & #6, discussion came up on the confusion over which committee will review the requests, the Student Fee committee vs. IRA committee which is responsible for spending the fee. Suggestion was made that Steve and Joanne's office should get together to discuss this further, this should all really be done in just one committee. Any further comments should be forwarded to Cindy Eaves.

**Other:**

There will be no meeting next month. The next meeting will be April 24<sup>th</sup>.

Respectfully submitted,  
Traci Franks