

CSU CHANNEL ISLANDS University Police Advisory Council Meeting Wednesday, December 14, 2022/ 3:00 PM Meeting notes prepared by: Colleen Haws

- 1) Call to Order
 - a. Call to order 3:04 PM
- 2) Roll Call
 - a. Committee Attendees: Theresa Avila, Lindsay Sanchez, Drake Massey, Jason Miller, Gary Gordon, Alexis Morales, Tanya Yancheson, Aram Pogosian (for Student Government)
 - b. Not in Attendance: Charles Osiris, Melea King
- 3) Welcome Interim Chief Drake Massey
 - a. We are currently looking for a replacement for Angela Portillo
 - b. Welcome to Student Government representative Aram Pogosian
- 4) Update AB 481 Regulated Equipment Forums
- 5) Used advice from UPAC
 - a. Offered information on our public facing website/social media
 - b. Held in Broome Library on December 2, 2022
 - i. Hybrid meeting
 - ii. Well publicized notifications went out
 - iii. No attendees
- 6) Discussion License Plate Recognition program
 - a. Campus safety measure for 2023
 - b. Flock safety slides
 - i. Not for parking enforcement
 - ii. Install solar power cameras that take pictures of license plates
 - 1. Catalog vehicle make, plate, body style, color and unique alterations
 - 2. Time stamp
 - iii. Looking at three entrances of campus to catch vehicles coming/going
 - 1. Stores photos into a cloud for future investigation
 - 2. Can put license plates in to receive future alerts
 - 3. Would use for known threats
 - 4. Also use for theft issues; i.e. catalytic converter thefts
 - iv. Privacy Protection
 - 1. Information is not shared or sold
 - 2. 30-day retention before deletion
 - 3. Stored in cloud-based environment
 - 4. Not for facial recognition, traffic enforcement or PII



c. CLETS

- i. Access to license plate, Driver's License or ID
- ii. Only authorized law enforcement, criminal justice personnel or their lawfully authorized designees may use a CLETS terminal or have access to information
- iii. Must have right to know and need to know before running information in CLETS
 - 1. Held by law must be active investigation
 - 2. Can be subject to prosecution if misused
- iv. We are audited and trained
 - 1. All dept personnel are required to take a test every two years
- v. California Vehicle Code 1808.45 prescribes the penalties relating to misuse of DMV record information
- vi. Penal Code sections 11142 and 13303 state:
 - Any person authorized by law to receive a record or information obtained from a record who knowingly furnishes the record or information to a person not authorized by law to receive the record or information is guilty of a misdemeanor
- vii. Accessing and/or releasing information from the CLETS for non-law enforcement purposes is prohibited, unless other mandated, and is subject to administrative action and/or criminal prosecution
- d. Looking at Flock as a campus safety feature
 - i. To advance criminal leads
 - ii. To inform the police about a threat to the campus community
 - iii. Not for citations or to check up on faculty/staff/students
- e. Has not been approved yet
 - i. Port Hueneme and city of Ventura are using it
 - ii. Did Qualtrics survey at BFA division meeting
 - 1. Response was overwhelmingly positive
- f. Student perspective
 - i. Safety is important and this could help students feel safer
 - ii. Important to mention it's not a big brother situation
 - 1. Mention that as much as possible
 - iii. Good that it is not excessive just at entrances
 - iv. Will share this information with Student Government when we are ready
- g. Is there a particular problem this will solve?
 - i. It's more of a proactive approach
 - ii. Campus has had threats in the past
 - 1. Some situations where a person's return to campus could become violent
 - 2. These could help with situations like that



- 3. Time saving tool for officers in the field
- 4. Officer safety tool
- h. Who will monitor it?
 - i. Dispatch/officers can search it
 - ii. Alerts can come in to dispatch or patrol phone or MDT
- i. Who on campus has access to this data?
 - i. Police officers
- j. What checks/balances will be in place for this?
 - i. Will there be a policy?
 - 1. Would be an extension of surveillance camera policies
 - 2. Strong policy would go a long way to calming big brother thoughts
- k. Are we observing real time?
 - Takes pictures and we can enter information so that when things come in, we get info
 real time
- I. When is one no longer under surveillance?
 - i. 30 days
 - ii. We will need to enter/remove tag information
- m. Marketing
 - i. Useful to hear what it is used for
 - ii. Need to hear what is not used for
 - iii. Would be a good idea to pass the current surveillance policy through Senate Committee on Equity and Anti-racism (CEAR) committee
- n. What is the cost?
 - i. Solar and cloud based no infrastructure
 - ii. \$30,000 with recurring \$15,000 per year
- o. What is the margin of error?
 - i. High quality
 - ii. We do have cameras on campus, but what we currently have doesn't capture as well as these do
- p. Should have strong policy management
 - i. What we can/cannot do
 - ii. What we should/should not do
 - iii. Consistent/impersonal
- 7) Questions about Transportation and Parking
 - a. Electric charging stations
 - i. This group should have been part of that decision



- ii. People in Academic Senate are upset about the location/fact that we will be charging
 - 1. There has been a lack of dialogue
 - a. There will be a communication plan to the campus
 - b. Will be a meeting planned and this group will be invited
 - c. Alternative Transportation Committee is another forum to discuss
- 8) Adjourned 3:54 PMNext meeting TBD